

Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
 Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
 Ethan B. Andelman (SBN 209101)
 Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)
 HOWREY LLP
 525 Market Street, Suite 3600
 San Francisco, California 94105
 Telephone: (415) 848-4900
 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSIS and
 Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
 AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.,
 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX
 INTERNATIONAL CORP., and MATROX
 TECH, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI
 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS LTD., MATROX
 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX
 INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH,
 INC., AND AEROFLEX COLORADO
 SPRINGS, INC.,

Defendants.

SYNOPSIS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**PLAINTIFF SYNOPSIS AND CUSTOMER
 DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF ERRATA TO
 MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
 FILED WITH THE COURT ON AUGUST
 18, 2006**

Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc., AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems Ltd., Matrox Graphics Inc., Matrox International Corp., and Matrox Tech, Inc. (collectively, "Synopsys and the Customer Defendants") submit this Errata to the Motions for Summary Judgment, filed August 18, 2006.

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (RTL)

[Summary Judgment Motion No. 1]

At page 3, line 19, second column, the text currently reads: "Ex. 9 at 12:9-12." The correct text should read: "Ex. 8 at 12:9-12."

At page 3, line 23, second column, the text currently reads: "Ex. 9 at 12:5-7." The correct text should read: "Ex. 8 at 12:5-7."

At page 7, lines 23-24, the text currently reads: "Shir-Shen Chang Dep. At 54:1-3." The correct text should read: "Ex. 89 (Shir-Shen Chang) at 54:1-3."

At page 7, line 25, the text currently reads: "Karen Pieper Dep. At 14:22-23." The correct text should read: "Ex. 90 (Karen Pieper) at 14:22-23."

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement (Hardware

Cells [Summary Judgment Motion No. 2]

At page 10, line 10, the text currently reads: "Soderman Rebuttal Report at 8." The correct text should read: "Ex. 91 at 8."

At page 16, line 2, the text currently reads: "['432 patent, col. 16:14-17:10]." The text should read: "Ex. 1 at col. 16:14-17:10."

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 For Violation of 35 U.S.C. § 102(f), Or, In the Alternative, To Dismiss For Failure to

Join All Co-Owners as Plaintiffs [Dispositive Motion No. 3]

At page 2, line 17, the text currently reads: "[Foo 5:25-6:25]." The corrected text should read "Ex. 23 (Foo) at 5:25-6:25."

At page 3, line 16, the text currently reads: "[Foo Ex. 521; SC3406 (confirming Dr. Foo's enrollment in the class).]" The corrected text should read: "Ex. 26; Ex. 25 (confirming Dr. Foo's enrollment in the class)."

At page 7, between lines 17 and 18, the text currently reads: “432 patent at 9:24-34.” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 1 at 9:24-34.”

At page 8, line 11, the text currently reads: “[Foo Ex. 521.]” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 26.”

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 13-17 of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 [Dispositive Motion No. 4]

At page 5, line 28, the text currently reads: “[1st Reexam Request.]” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 38.”

At page 8, fn. 5, the text currently reads: “Claim Construction Order at 10.” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 8 at 20.”

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Unenforceability Due to Inequitable Conduct [Summary Judgment Motion No. 5]

At page 8, line 18, the text currently reads: “Ex. 40 at RCL000228.” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 46 at RCL000228.”

At page 9, line 17, the text currently reads: “*Id.* at RCL000197.” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 48 at RCL000197.”

Errata re Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding the Scope of Patent Damages for Alleged Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432 [Summary Judgment Motion No. 7]

At page 4, line 16, the text currently reads: “*See* Olson Decl., ¶ 7.” The corrected text should read: “*See* Ex. 58 at ¶ 7.”

At page 4, lines 18-19, the text currently reads: “(‘Heynes Decl.’), ¶ 4”. The corrected text should read: “Ex. 59 at ¶ 4”.

At page 4, line 21, the text currently reads: “*See* Heynes Decl., ¶¶ 5 and 6.” The corrected text should read: “*See* Ex. 59 at ¶¶ 5 and 6.”

At page 4, line 22, the text currently reads: “*See* Heynes Decl., ¶¶ 12-14.” The corrected text should read: “Ex. 59 at ¶¶ 12-14.”

Errata re Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Willfulness [Summary Judgment No. 9]

At page 1, lines 16 and 17, the text currently reads, “See Amended Complaint at ¶¶ 20, 26, 32, 28, 44, 50, and 56.” The corrected text should read: “See Ex. 82 at ¶¶ 20, 26, 32, 28, 44, 50, and 56.”

Dated: August 21, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

HOWREY LLP

By: /s/Denise M. De Mory
Denise M. De Mory
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SYNOPSYS, INC. and for Defendants
AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS,
INC., AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS,
LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC.,
MATROX INTERNATIONAL CORP.,
and MATROX TECH, INC.